

2009-10

Instructions

Ratings are on a scale of 0 to 10 with “0” indicating that the proposal does not meet the criteria and “10” indicating the proposal meets the criteria fully and completely. A mid-point score would indicate that the proposal addresses some aspects of the criteria. Each rating scale is cumulative. Projects should demonstrate how they have met the lower criteria as well as the ceiling criteria in support of a rating. Applications with a score of 0 in any of the 7 evaluation domains listed below and those with overall scores less than 60 out of 100 will not qualify.

ORGANIZATION: <<insert organization>>

PILOT PROJECT: <<insert project name>>

Funding Stream (insert appropriate stream)

A. Community-Police Partnership Projects

Edmonton Calgary Other Communities

B. Community-Based Projects

Edmonton Calgary Other Communities

Location(s): <<insert locations>>

How does the application meet the following evaluation criteria?	Rating (0-10)	Weighting	Score x 10
1.0 Needs Assessment : Priority Crime Issue and Target Group		10%	
0 <input type="checkbox"/> none identified 1-3 <input type="checkbox"/> project utilizes universal (primary) prevention approach <input type="checkbox"/> needs assessment not clearly linked to reducing or preventing crime and social disorder 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> crime profile and trends used to identify crime and social disorder priorities, which are linked to a specific target group (e.g., at-risk individuals and their families; Aboriginal people and communities; crime hot spots or neighborhoods: enhancing the reporting of crime) 7-8 <input type="checkbox"/> targeted interventions are based on assessment of participants' risks and needs, include wrap around and follow-up supports, and are developmentally and culturally appropriate 9-10 <input type="checkbox"/> pilot addresses an identified gap in services, is linked to other services as part of a continuum, and will impact multiple service delivery systems <input type="checkbox"/> project represents a value added solution to an identified business/systems problem (e.g., responding to crises, waitlists for treatment, repeat calls to same address, etc.)			
Comments:			

How does the application meet the following evaluation criteria?	Rating (0-10)	Weighting	Score x 10
2.0 Project logic model and evaluation process		15%	
0 <input type="checkbox"/> incomplete plan, outcomes not identified 1-3 <input type="checkbox"/> outputs and satisfaction measures 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> project logic model sound and includes outcome measures for specific risk factors 7-8 <input type="checkbox"/> changes in risk and protective factors detectable within time frame (e.g., pre/post intervention) 9-10 <input type="checkbox"/> mechanism for longitudinal tracking of outcomes outlined, social return on investment (SROI) analysis included, benchmark targets are known for similar interventions			
Comments:			
3.0 Project description, components and evidence-based approaches		20%	
0 <input type="checkbox"/> no evidence-base provided or referenced 1-3 <input type="checkbox"/> project interventions unlikely to meet the risks and needs of the target population (e.g. in terms of frequency, intensity, type, evidence-base) <input type="checkbox"/> project, on its own, is too narrow; does not indicate linkages to other supports and interventions that target group might need 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> project goals and objectives, and logic model, link crime issue to known risk factors and needs of an identifiable target group associated with the crime issue and to interventions that are likely to work in addressing their needs. 7-8 <input type="checkbox"/> crime and root causes analysis included and substantiated by research <input type="checkbox"/> screening, intake processes, and strategic partners in place to ensure risks and needs of a specific target group can be identified and addressed 9-10 <input type="checkbox"/> project interventions and approaches are substantiated by best practices research (model or promising approaches) as being effective in reducing and preventing crime			
Comments:			
4.0 Strategic partnerships and linkages to existing programs and services		10%	
0 <input type="checkbox"/> none identified 1-3 <input type="checkbox"/> loose network, stakeholders involved as consultants/advisors/referral agents 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> partners used to reach at-risk populations and their families 7-8 <input type="checkbox"/> partners used to deliver project, wrap around services 9-10 <input type="checkbox"/> strategic partners contributing to project, invested in innovation and learning, and impact on systems likely			
Comments:			

How does the application meet the following evaluation criteria?	Rating (0-10)	Weighting	Score x 10
5.0 Capacity and project plan		10%	
0 <input type="checkbox"/> incomplete plan 1-3 <input type="checkbox"/> experienced project manager designated to the project 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> organization has a previous track record of successful project implementation 7-8 <input type="checkbox"/> realistic work plan reflects contributions of strategic partners 9-10 <input type="checkbox"/> comprehensive plan supports achievement of outcomes, supports and integrates other initiatives, and includes a plan for closure or transition			
Comments:			
6.0 Sustainability plan		20%	
0 <input type="checkbox"/> none identified 1-3 <input type="checkbox"/> seeking government funding 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> positive outcomes evaluation and business case support innovation in service delivery, relevant future funders participating in pilot project; project represents a value added solution to an identified business/systems problem 7-8 <input type="checkbox"/> redeployment of existing resources – cost neutral 9-10 <input type="checkbox"/> redeployment of existing resources – cost avoidance			
Comments:			
7.0 Budget and value for money relative to expected results – SCIF request / Budget ratio (%) = (Total Budget-Capital costs)/(number of target population served) = (ROI)= [%reduction x number of cases x cost per case]/(Total Budget) =		15%	
0 <input type="checkbox"/> not addressed in proposal 1-3 <input type="checkbox"/> cost per case is significant, low impact on crime reduction and prevention 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> significant in-kind and/or other funding secured (15-30%) <input type="checkbox"/> measurable improvements in functioning for target group likely 7-8 <input type="checkbox"/> adapts a model or promising approach with significant SROI likely 9-10 <input type="checkbox"/> reengineering the way business is delivered – pathway to innovation outlined			
Comments:			

